	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/666,691	WU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jayesh A. Patel	2624	
NIC 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Jayesh A. Pater	2024	
The MAILING DATE of this communication at All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATEN of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1	S IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in -85) or other appropriate comm TRIGHTS. This application is	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due co	ourse. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>07/13/2007</u> .			
2. 🔀 The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>2-18</u> .			
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents to Certified copies of the priority documents to Certified copies of the priority documents to Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	nave been received. nave been received in Application	on No	on from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	documents have been receive	a in the national stage application	
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DA noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDO THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		e a reply complying with the requ	irements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be summer informal patent application (PTO-152) which			TICE OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets")	must be submitted.		
(a) I including changes required by the Notice of Drafts	person's Patent Drawing Revie	w (PTO-948) attached	
1) 🔲 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date	<u></u> .		
(b) including changes required by the attached Exami Paper No./Mail Date	ner's Amendment / Comment o	r in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 Cleach sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such			ack) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dattached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREME 			te the
Attachment(s)	E Nation of I	oformal Datant Application	
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nformal Patent Application Summary (PTO-413),	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-	Paper No	/Mail Date	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	7. 🛛 Examiner's	Amendment/Comment	
Paper No./Mail Date 4.	9. 🗆 Other	s Statement of Reasons for Allow 	ance
SUPERVISORY PATER	T EXAMINER	\rightarrow	
		•	

Art Unit: 2624

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Claim 1 is cancelled.

Claims 2,3,5,8 and 9 have been amended.

Claim 8 is dependent on Claim 1. Claim 1 is cancelled and a Claim cannot depend from a cancelled Claim 1. For the advancement in the prosecution the Examiner has made an assumption for Claim 8 to depend from Claim 9 instead.

The following correction is required before the payment of the issue fee.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of the reasons for allowance. The Claimed subject matter in Claim 9 is indicated allowable over the closest prior arts on record Camus (US 6516087) and John (GB 2316255). Both Camus and John disclose a method for aligning a first image and a second image, comprising: (1) selecting a first pixel in the first image and a second pixel in the second image that overlap when the first image and the second image are aligned with a camera motion;(2) if the first and the second pixels are edge

Application/Control Number: 10/666,691

Art Unit: 2624

pixels, incrementing a correlation value between the first image and the second image for the camera motion;(3) if only one of the first and the second pixels is an edge pixel, decrementing the correlation value between the first image and the second image for the camera motion;(4) repeating steps (1) to (3) for other overlapping pixels in the first image and the second image to determine the correlation value between the first image and the second image for the camera motion.

Camus and John however do not disclose (5) repeating steps (1) to (4) for other camera motions to generate correlation values for the other camera motions; and (6) selecting a group of the camera motions generating large correlation values hence it is allowable subject matter. Claims 2-12 depends on Claim 9 therefore they are allowable.

Both Camus and John also do not disclose a method in Claim 13 for aligning a first image and a second image, comprising:(1) generating a plurality of correlation values for a camera motion, the camera motion comprising a translation and a range of rotation after the translation, a correlation value being defined by the mathematical equation of Claim 13 wherein Corr is the correlation value; W is an overlapping region between the first image and the second image under the camera motion; p and p' are overlapping points in the overlapping region from the first image and the second image, respectively; L(p) is a first Laplace gradient of the first point; L'(p') is a second Laplace gradient of the second point; G(p) is a first Sobel gradient of the first point; G'(p') is a second

Art Unit: 2624

Sobel gradient of the second point; T is a first Sobel threshold for detecting an edge in the first image; and T' is a second Sobel threshold for detecting an edge in the second image.(2) selecting a maximum correlation value from the plurality of correlation values for the camera motion; (3) repeating (1) and (2) for other camera motions to generate maximum correlation values for the other camera motions; (4) generating a map comprising the maximum correlation values at coordinates of their translation vectors; and (5) selecting a group of the maximum correlation values that form peaks in the map, therefore Claim 13 is allowable. Claims 14-18 depend on Claim13, hence they are allowable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayesh A. Patel whose telephone number is 571-270-1227. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7.00am to 4.30 pm (5-4-9). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public Application/Control Number: 10/666,691 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jayesh Patel 08/03/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER